

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference G204020 | FOR FURTHER ACTION | See item 4 below |
| International application No. PCT/JP2004/010839 | International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 29 July 2004 (29.07.2004) | Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 30 July 2003 (30.07.2003) |
| International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237 | | |
| Applicant ZEON CORPORATION | | |

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

| | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

| | |
|---|--|
| The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 | Date of issuance of this report 22 May 2006 (22.05.2006) Authorized officer <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">Yoshiko Kuwahara</div> Telephone No. +41 22 338 90 90 |
|---|--|

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

G204020

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010839

International filing date (day/month/year)

29.07.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

30.07.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Applicant

ZEON CORPORATION

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☒ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010839

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010839

| | | | |
|---|--|-----------|-----|
| Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | |
| 1. Statement | | | |
| Novelty (N) | Claims | 1-10 | YES |
| | Claims | | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | 4-6 | YES |
| | Claims | 1-3, 7-10 | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-10 | YES |
| | Claims | | NO |
| 2. Citations and explanations: | | | |
| <p>Document 1: JP 7-51377 A</p> <p>Document 2: JP 60-92773 A</p> <p>Document 3: JP 2000-51361 A</p> <p>Claims 1-3, 9 and 10</p> <p>Document 1 describes a balloon catheter for the aorta.</p> <p>In addition, document 2 describes a catheter that is inserted via the brachial vein into the pulmonary artery and balloon pumping is performed, and it also describes providing a pulmonary arterial pressure measurement hole 7 in the vicinity of the balloon. Therefore, this examination finds that document 2 describes insertion via the upper arm of a balloon catheter for balloon pumping, and in a balloon catheter for balloon pumping used by positioning the distal end of the catheter downstream in the artery, the provision of a hole for the measurement of arterial pressure in the catheter member in the vicinity of the balloon so that arterial pressure upstream of the balloon can be measured.</p> <p>Therefore, this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily conceive of the inventions of claims 1-3, 9, and 10 based on documents 1 and 2.</p> <p>Claims 7 and 8</p> <p>Document 3 describes a balloon catheter comprising components equivalent to the "two-lumen tube," "balloon support tube" and a "balloon" of this application.</p> <p>Therefore, this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily conceive of the inventions of claims 7 and 8 based on documents 1-3.</p> <p>Claims 4-6</p> <p>Documents 1-3 neither describe nor suggest the construction wherein "an outer tube and an inner tube are affixed around the entire periphery of the aperture margin of the blood inflow hole" of claim 4, and the construction wherein "in the cavity, the inner tube is exposed exterior to the outer tube, and a blood flow inlet hole is formed in the interior tube located in the interior of the cavity" of claim 5.</p> | | | |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010839

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

The "mm³" in Par. Nos. 0010, 0037, 0066, 0069, 0082 and claim 3 appears to be a typographical error for "mm²".

It appears that there is a typographical error in the document number of "JP 4-343355 gazette" in Par. No. 0004.